

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,621	07/18/2003	Jan Weber	S63.2-10856-US01	2650	
⁴⁹⁰ VIDAS, ARRE	7590 12/27/200' ETT & STEINKRAUS,	EXAMINER			
SUITE 400, 6640 SHADY OAK ROAD			KOHARSKI, CHRISTOPHER		
EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55344			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/622,621	WEBER ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher D. Koharski	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addres	ss
E REPLY FILED 18 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THE	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the fol places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a	lowing replies: (1) an amendment	t, affidavit, or other evidence) in compliance with 37 CFR	e, which . 41.31; or (3

THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>27-38 and 63-68</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims raise new considerations and elemental/operational elements/functions drawn to the balloon polymer composition and therefore change the scope of the applicant's claim and would require further additional search and consideration.

Examiner has fully considered applicant's arguments but they are not persuasive. It is examiners position that given a careful reading, the claims do not distinguish over the prior art of record. The examiner has given the broadest reasonable definition of the "the lumen extending longitudinally therethrough [the ballloon] passing through the tapering prooximal and distal wall portions "in the claims and the Applicant's representatives arguments fail to convince of an otherwise meaning. Examiner asserts that the lumen does pass through the distal wall portions, as evidenced in Applicant's figure 9, both lumens 22 and 85 pass through the tapered walls portions at different places. The prior art of record teaches all elements as claimed and these elements satisfy all structural, functional, operational, and spatial limitations currently in the claims. Therefore the standing rejections are proper and maintained. Examiner suggests Applicant further define the lumen being claimed as a second lumen or defining the lumen relative to the balloon axis as being offset or eccentric from the balloon axis.

Continuation of 13. Other: Examiner is not conisdering the information disclosure statement filed 12/18/2007, after final per MPEP 609, which cites 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98 in which information disclosure statements filed after a final office must include the proper fee and statement paragraph, Examiner finds no statement paragraph present as to why these references were not introduced during prosecution.